November 30, 2001

Mr. John Steiner
Division Chief
City of Austin - Law Department
P.O. Box 1546
Austin, Texas 78767-1546

OR2001-5569

Dear Mr. Steiner:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 155929.

The City of Austin (the "city") received two requests for information regarding airport security at Austin-Bergstrom International Airport. Specifically, one requestor seeks all correspondence regarding security violations at Austin-Bergstrom International Airport, and the other requestor seeks "any and all reports that detail Federal Aviation Administration [("FAA")] security violations." You claim that federal law preempts the Texas Public Information Act the ("Act") with respect to determining the confidentiality of information about aviation and airport security. In the alternative, you claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You inform us that you have forwarded the requests to the FAA Administrator. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 40119 of title 49 of the United States Code states in relevant part that "[n]otwithstanding section 552 of title 5, the [FAA] Administrator shall proscribe regulations prohibiting disclosure of information obtained or developed in carrying out security or research and development activities" The regulations promulgated in accordance with section 40119 are expansive. First, section 191.1(a) of title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations explains that Part 191 governs the release by the FAA or by other persons of records and information concerning security activities. Second, section 191.3(a) states in relevant part that "notwithstanding 5 U.S.C. 522 or other laws, the records and information described in Secs. 191.7 and 191.3(b) are not available for public inspection or copying, nor is information contained in those records released to the public." (emphasis added). With

respect to the two provisions referenced in section 191.3(a), section 191.7 defines sensitive security information, and section 191.3(b) concerns information developed in the conduct of security or research and development activities. The definition of sensitive security information includes any approved or standard security program for an airport operator, comments or implementing guidance related to the security program, and details of alleged violations of specific regulatory provisions. See 14 C.F.R. § 191.7(a), (h). Finally, section 191.5 mandates that requests for sensitive security information and information developed from security or research and development activities must be referred to the FAA Administrator. Based upon this statutory scheme, we agree that the decision to release or withhold the requested information is one for the FAA Administrator, not this office. See English v. General Elec. Co., 496 U.S. 72, 79 (1990) (noting that state law preempted to extent it actually conflicts with federal law); see also Louisiana Pub. Serv. Comm'n v. FCC, 476 U.S. 355, 369 (1986) (noting that federal agency acting within scope of its congressionally delegated authority may preempt state regulation). Consequently, the city need not release the requested information at this time. Instead, the city must await a decision from the FAA Administrator concerning disclosure of the information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Kevin J. White

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KJW/seg

Ref: ID# 155929

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kelly Daniel
Austin American Statesman
P.O. Box 670
Austin, Texas 78704
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Woods Assignment Editor KEYE-TV 42 10700 Metric Boulevard Austin, Texas 78758 (w/o enclosures)